atoms resulted in an R factor of 11% for 325 independent data.

The interatomic distances and angles are shown in Table II. Nearest approaches between molecules are $C(1) \cdots C(31'')$ at 4.14 Å. and $C(1) \cdots C(23'')$ at 4.52 Å. It is seen that all the bonded C-C distances are near 1.54 Å., the diamond value, and all the angles are near the tetrahedral value. Adamantane,⁴ the single cage structure related to congressane, also crystallizes in the cubic system and has C-C bond distances of 1.54 Å. and tetrahedral bond angles. It is of interest that the nearest approach between molecules of adamantane is the same as that for congressane. Both substances have unusually high densities for hydrocarbons, namely, 1.07 g./cm.³ for adamantane and 1.21 g./cm.³ for congressane.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank Dr. P. Schleyer for suggesting that we investigate the structure of congressane, Dr. Schleyer and Mr. C. Cupas for furnishing us with the crystal, and Mr. S. Brenner for performing the calculations.

(4) W. Nowacki, Helv. Chim. Acta, 28, 1233 (1945).

I. L. Karle, J. Karle U. S. Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D. C. Received January 7, 1965

The Inductive Effect in the Toluene Anion Radical

Sir:

The general agreement found between the aromatic ion-radical spin densities calculated by molecular orbital theory and estimated from electron spin resonance hyperfine splittings is considered an important experimental confirmation of π -electron theory.¹ A prime example of this type of comparison is provided by the toluene anion.² For this radical a simple, yet relatively accurate, description of the measured ring hyperfine splittings is obtained by assuming that the double degeneracy of the benzene anion ground state is lifted by the presence of the methyl group and that the unpaired electron is in the lower energy orbital, which is the one that is "antisymmetric" with respect to the perpendicular plane through the substituted carbon (C_1) and the *para* carbon (C_4) . This orbital (often designated as Ψ_5) has the form

$$\Psi_{5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} (\phi_{2} - \phi_{3} + \phi_{5} - \phi_{6}) \qquad (1)$$

where the ϕ_i are atomic π -orbitals associated with carbon C_i . Equation 1 yields spin densities of 1/4 in the 2-, 3-, 5-, and 6-positions and zero in the 1- and 4positions in correspondence with the e.s.r. result that there are four large hyperfine constants of nearly equal magnitude ($|a^{\rm H}| \cong 5.3$ gauss) due to the 2-, 3-, 5-, and 6-ring protons and very small splitting constants from the ring proton in the 4-position and from the methyl group protons. Although this interpretation is almost certainly correct, we wish to point out that it is not consistent with a calculation based on the most common model for the methyl group

(1) See, for example, A. Streitwieser, Jr., "Molecular Orbital Theory for Organic Chemists," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1961, Chapter 6. ring interaction; that is, if a Hückel molecular orbital (HMO) treatment with the Mulliken hyperconjugative model³ and Coulson-Crawford parameters⁴ for the methyl group is performed, it is found that the *symmetric* member of the degenerate benzene orbitals has a lower energy. Since this orbital (Ψ_4) has the form

$$\Psi_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \left(2\phi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3 + 2\phi_4 - \phi_5 - \phi_6 \right) \quad (2)$$

it yields two large spin densities (1/3) in the 1- and 4positions and four small ones (1/12) in the 2-, 3-, 5-, and 6-positions. Thus, the result that Ψ_4 is more stable in the toluene anion and, therefore, is occupied by the unpaired electron is clearly in disagreement with the e.s.r. spectrum.

The difficulty with the Coulson-Crawford model can be described in a simple manner. Since the antisymmetric orbital (Ψ_{δ}) has no contribution from the atomic orbital ϕ_1 at the methyl-substituted position, it has exactly the HMO energy that it would have in the benzene anion. Only the energy of the symmetric orbital (Ψ_4) is altered by the substitution and a *stabilization* is produced by conjugation with the methyl group. To consider this effect in more detail, we number the significant positions C₁, C₇, and X₈, where C₁ is the benzene carbon, C₇ is the methyl group carbon, and X₈ is the hydrogen group pseudo-atom. The essential parameters for the interacting methyl group are

$$\begin{array}{lll} \alpha_7 = \alpha_0 + h_7 \beta_0 & \beta_{17} = k_{17} \beta_0 \\ \alpha_8 = \alpha_0 + h_8 \beta_0 & \beta_{78} = k_{78} \beta_0 \end{array}$$

where α_0 and β_0 are the ring-carbon atomic integral and resonance integral, respectively; and h_7 , h_8 , k_{17} , and k_{78} are proportionality constants selected by some fitting procedure.^{1.4} With the Coulson-Crawford values $h_7 = -0.1$, $h_8 = -0.5$, $k_{17} = 0.7$, and $k_{78} = 2.5$, one finds for the symmetric orbital energy $\epsilon_4 = \alpha_0 - 0.986\beta_0$, while the antisymmetric orbital has the unperturbed energy $\epsilon_5 = \alpha_0 - \beta_0$. Variation of the methyl group parameters, $(h_7, h_8; k_{17}, k_{78})$ within reasonable ranges does not alter the order of the energy levels. Independent of k_{17} and k_{18} , α_8 has to be increased to $\alpha_0 - 1.0\beta_0$ to make ϵ_4 and ϵ_5 degenerate, while a still larger value of α_8 is needed to make the energy level differences ($\epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5$) have the required positive sign.

The paradox that emerges from the above calculation can be solved by introducing an additional parameter corresponding to the inductive effect⁵ of the methyl group on the ring carbon atoms, in particular on the substituted position C₁. Writing $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 + h_1\beta_0$, and using the previously listed values for the methyl group, one finds that $\epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 \cong (1/_3)h_1\beta_0$. Thus, a "chemically" reasonable negative h_1 can produce the correct ordering of the energy levels; a value on the order of -0.1 seems to be needed to produce the proper energy level splitting. Such a value for h_1 is not out of line with estimates based on other molecular properties. What is important about the e.s.r. data is that their "all or nothing²" character seems to

⁽²⁾ J. R. Bolton and A. Carrington, Mol. Phys., 4, 497 (1961).

⁽³⁾ R. S. Mulliken, C. A. Rieke, and W. G. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 63, 41 (1941).

⁽⁴⁾ C. A. Coulson and V. A. Crawford, J. Chem. Soc., 2052 (1953). (5) See ref. 1, Section 5.7. The simple inductive model [G. W. Wheland and L. Pauling, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 57, 2086 (1935)] yields the correct order for the energy levels but no methyl group hyperfine splittings.

provide essentially unequivocal evidence for the presence of an inductive contribution to the methylgroup aromatic-ring interaction in the toluene anion.⁶ A subsequent paper will present a more detailed description of the HMO results and their confirmation by an ASMO-CI (Pariser-Parr) treatment.

Acknowledgment.—One of the authors (M. K.) wishes to thank Dr. J. M. Parks and Dr. G. K. Fraenkel for helpful discussions of the hyperconjugation problem.

(6) The conclusion of J. R. Bolton, A. Carrington, and A. D. McLachlan [Mol. Phys., 5, 31 (1962)] that hyperconjugation and induction can result in equivalent energy changes appears to be incorrect; the difficulty arises from their neglect of the methyl group antibonding orbital. See, also, J. P. Colpa and E. de Boer, ibid., 7, 333 (1964).

D. Lazdins, M. Karplus

Department of Chemistry and IBM Watson Laboratory Columbia University, New York, New York Received December 29, 1964

A Multiple Bond between Technetium Atoms in an Octachloroditechnetate Ion¹

Sir:

We wish to present a preliminary account of the results of an X-ray diffraction study of the compound (NH₄)₃Tc₂Cl₈·2H₂O recently reported by Eakins, Humphreys, and Mellish.² The compound was prepared as directed by these authors. The unit cell has trigonal symmetry with the dimensions $a = 13.03 \pm 0.02$ and $c = 8.40 \pm 0.01$ Å. With three of the above formula units per cell, the calculated density is 2.31 g. cm.-8 $(d_{exptl} 2.4 \text{ g. cm.}^{-3})$. From systematic extinctions and the three-dimensional Patterson function, the space group was found to be P3₁21.

The salient feature of the structure (for which the usual reliability index now stands at 15% for 412 reflections) is the occurrence of Tc₂Cl₈ groups which are essentially isostructural with the $[Re_2Cl_8]^{2-}$ group.^{3,4} Their virtual symmetry is D_{4h} , although, crystallographically, they possess only a single C2 axis bisecting the Tc-Tc bond. The four crystallographically independent Tc-Cl bonds have lengths of 2.34, 2.35, 2.35, and 2.36 Å., each with a standard deviation of 0.03 Å., while the Tc-Tc distance is 2.13 ± 0.01 Å. Twothirds of the ammonium ions have been located on the sixfold equipoint, lying between the Tc₂Cl₈ groups, with $N \cdots Cl$ distances of 3.04 to 3.37 Å, while the remaining ammonium ions are on a threefold equipoint.

Recent studies in this laboratory of metal atom cluster compounds,⁵ especially those of rhenium,³ have led to the preparation⁶ and characterization^{4,6} of the $[Re_2X_8]^{2-}$ ions, in which there is an extremely short Re-Re bond (2.24 Å.). On the basis of the bond length and the eclipsed structure of the [Re₂Cl₈]²⁻ ion, as well as for some other reasons, it has been proposed that the Re–Re bond is quadruple,^{8,7} consisting of a σ -bond, two π bonds, and a δ -bond. It has also been suggested⁷ that the carboxylate-bridged dimers,⁸ $[Re(O_2CR)_2X]_2$, have quadruple bonds; X-ray studies are in progress to test this suggestion.

The charge of -3 on the Tc₂Cl₈ group is somewhat surprising. However, in addition to the X-ray evidence, our own analytical data confirm the formula, and magnetic susceptibility data are consistent with the presence of one unpaired electron per Tc_2Cl_8 unit.

It is of interest to compare our results for the Tc₂Cl₈ group with those which have been obtained by Lawton and Mason⁹ for Wilkinson's Mo(II) acetate.¹⁰ These workers find that the compound is a centrosymmetric dimer with the acetate groups acting as bridges between the metal atoms in the same general manner as in the Cu(II) carboxylate dimers. There is a square-planar set of oxygen atoms about each Mo atom, and the Mo-Mo distance is 2.10 Å. This distance is practically the same as the Tc-Tc distance we have found in the Tc_2Cl_8 ion. It appears that the formation of extremely short, presumably quadruple, bonds between d⁴-ions of the second- and third-row transition elements may be quite general. Chemical and structural studies of these and related compounds are being continued.

(7) F. A. Cotton, *ibid.*, 4, 334 (1965).
(8) F. Taha and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., 5406 (1963).

(9) D. Lawton and R. Mason, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 921 (1965).

(10) T. A. Stephenson, E. Bannister, and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., 2538 (1964).

F. A. Cotton, W. K. Bratton Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Received November 30, 1964

The Molecular Structure of Molybdenum(II) Acetate

Sir:

We have completed an X-ray analysis of the crystal structure of molybdenum(II) acetate.^{1,2} The crystals are triclinic, space group Pl, with a = 8.35, b = 5.46, and c = 7.50 Å., $\alpha = 82.9^{\circ}$, $\beta = 105.1^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 105.1^{\circ}$; with $\rho_{obsd} = 2.10 \text{ g}./\text{cc.}, Z = 1 \text{ for } [Mo(O_2CCH_3)_2]_2$. The molecule is strictly centrosymmetric in the crystal, its stereochemistry being shown in Figure 1; the observed molecular dimensions are the result of a leastsquares analysis of 393 reflections (R = 0.071), average e.s.d.'s of bond lengths being 0.003 (Mo-Mo), 0.025 (Mo–O), and 0.04 Å. (C–C and C–O).

With the exception of the remarkably short metalmetal bond, the structure is similar to that of the copper acetate dimer structure,3 the four bridging acetate groups establishing a slightly distorted planar configuration of each molybdenum ion. The covalent radius of the metal can be estimated from the observed mean Mo-O bond length of 2.10 Å. as 1.45 Å.,⁴ and a metalmetal bond length of ca. 2.9 Å. would therefore be

Supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.
 J. D. Eakins, D. G. Humphreys, and C. E. Mellish, J. Chem. Soc.,

^{6012 (1963).}

⁽³⁾ F. A. Cotton, N. F. Curtis, C. B. Harris, B. F. G. Johnson, S. J. Lippard, J. T. Mague, W. R. Robinson, and J. S. Wood, *Science*, 145, 1305 (1964).

 ⁽⁴⁾ F. A. Cotton and C. B. Harris, *Inorg. Chem.*, 4, 330 (1965).
 (5) F. A. Cotton and T. E. Haas, *ibid.*, 3, 10 (1964).
 (6) F. A. Cotton, N. F. Curtis, B. F. G. Johnson, and W. R. Robinson, ibid., 4, 326 (1965).

⁽¹⁾ E. Bannister and G. Wilkinson, Chem. Ind. (London), 319 (1960).

⁽²⁾ T. A. Stephenson, E. Bannister, and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., 2538 (1964).

⁽³⁾ J. N. van Niekerk and F. R. L. Schoening, Acta Cryst., 6, 227 (1953).

⁽⁴⁾ The covalent radius of oxygen is given a value of 0.65 Å, as judged from the available accurately determined carbon-oxygen bond lengths.5

^{(5) &}quot;Interatomic Distances," Special Publication No. 11, The Chemical Society, London, 1958.